

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILIN		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/379,702	79,702 08/24/1999		HISASHI OHTANI	07977/093002	1613	
20985	7590	10/16/2002				
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC				EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		LAGE DRIVE		LEE, EU	LEE, EUGENE	
SAN DIEG	O, CA 92	122		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2815		
				DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/379,702	OHTANI ET AL.	_
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eugene Lee	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	5
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Means the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commur ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.	June 2002 .		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal m	natters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	5.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.	
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>45-64</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-64</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		and to by the Everiner	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 August 1999</u> is/are:			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		disapproved by the Examinor.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
•	Carrintor.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n neigeity under 25 LLS (2 & 119(a) ₋ (d) or (f)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	if priority under 35 0.0.	5. § 110(a) (a) or (i).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	to have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No. 08/757 112	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			ne
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	, 0
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			olication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.	
Attachment(s)		••	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the gate insulating film comprising a first insulating film and a second insulating film wherein the first insulating film has a side aligned with a side of the crystalline semiconductor island, and a gate electrode must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 6/20/02 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: a gate electrode over the gate insulating film and the first insulating film having a side aligned with a side of the crystalline semiconductor island.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Objections

3. Claim 46 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 9, the word "cover" should be "over". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claim 45-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not state a gate electrode over the gate insulating film and the first insulating film having a side aligned with a side of the crystalline semiconductor island.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 45 thru 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki '132 in view of Matsumoto '084. Yamazaki discloses (see, for example, FIG. 3) a thin film transistor (semiconductor device) comprising a substrate 1, non-single-

Art Unit: 2815

crystal semiconductor layer (crystalline semiconductor island) 2, gate insulating film 3, and gate electrode 5G. The gate insulating film has a side aligned with a side of the single-crystal semiconductor layer 2. Yamazaki does not disclose a gate insulating film comprising a first insulating film and a second insulating film over the first insulating film. However, Matsumoto discloses (see, for example, FIG. 1) a thin film semiconductor device comprising a thin film transistor 2 wherein the thin film transistor comprises a gate insulating film (first gate insulating film) 14 and a gate insulating film (second gate insulating film) 19. In column 4, lines 47-49 and lines 65-68, Matsumoto discloses the gate insulating films may be silicon oxide or silicon nitride. In column 5, lines 28-56, Matsumoto teaches that such an arrangement will increase the on-voltage and suppress the increase in current consumption. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the two gate insulating films of Matsumoto in Yamazaki's invention in order to increase the on-voltage and suppress the increase in current consumption.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 45-64 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2815

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-5695.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Art Unit: 2815

Eugene Lee September 23, 2002

> EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800